

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**VS.**

**TABATHA A. VEASLEY,**

**Defendant.**

**8:08CR440**

## ORDER

This matter is before the court on the government's motion to continue trial (Doc. 27) due to counsel's unavailability commencing April 27, 2009. For good cause shown, trial will be continued to June 30, 2009.

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is granted, as follows:

1. The jury trial now set for May 18, 2009 is continued to **June 30, 2009.**

2. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **April 27, 2009 and June 30, 2009**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act due to counsel's scheduling conflicts and because counsel require additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time would deny the Government continuity of counsel and might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

**DATED April 17, 2009.**

**BY THE COURT:**

**s/ F.A. Gossett**  
**United States Magistrate Judge**